terms the mainline appropriation bill is about \$876,000,000. It is based upon an assumption of, on the receipt side and the revenue side that something will be enacted in the form of hold-harmless legislation on the income tax so that those receipts can be assumed to be stable. The overall package is based on the assumption of a 5 percent reserve for the '87-88 fiscal year and a 4.5 percent reserve for the biennium through '80...at the end of '88-89 and for the reasons, of course, is we're stretching out further adequate reserves but the potential uncertainty is desirable. Overall I think the increase is something like 2 percent in General Fund, 3 percent in General Fund...well, 3.3 percent in General Fund appropriation for everything, but that is against a current year budget. With the deficit bill adopted it's more like 5 percent increase if you exclude the deficits and go with the \$833 million budget that currently exists but with the deficit of 19 million that comes up to 853 and this is, if all the bills were enacted, is roughly 880 million which would be 3.3 percent including salaries and all recommended or suggested changes. The salary bill is running a separate bill as we have traditionally done in recent One of the reasons for doing that is that we are comparing growth in agencies that makes a significant difference as the percentage adjustments by agency on whether or not an agency is personnel...that their budget is primarily personnel or if the budget is primary operations. We did and I don't believe there is any exceptions that I can recall, reductions that were made in the...from the special session, LB 1, that were intended to be annualized, have been annualized and so every agency that had a reduction, if it was a million dollars as a result in the last year's budget as a result of LB 1, annualizing that million dollar reduction would have been \$2 million reduction in their beginning base or additional million in their beginning base in which all figures are calculated. And if there is an exception, the only one that comes to mind would be, an example would be 392 that has already been enacted by the Legislature. That would have been an exception that would have been identified. With that very general explanation, Mr. President, why, perhaps it would expedite things to go to those amendments or to answer questions by members of the committee on any aspect that someone wishes.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. With that explanation, an amendment on the desk, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Landis would move to amend the bill. Senator Landis's amendment is found on page 1895 of the